

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9 AT SEATTLE

10 FAYEZ MANSOR, et al.,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.

13 UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP  
14 AND IMMIGRATION SERVICES,  
et al.,

15 Defendants.

CASE NO. C23-0347JLR

ORDER

16 Since February 2025, the parties' cross motions for summary judgment in this case  
17 have been stayed pending Defendant U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services'  
18 ("USCIS") review of the Prima Facie Eligibility Streamlined Case Processing ("PFE  
19 SCP") system for adjudicating concurrently-filed applications for employment  
20 authorization and temporary protected status ("TPS"), which is the subject of the parties'  
21 cross motions. (Plfs. MSJ (Dkt. # 89); Defs. MSJ (Dkt. # 92).) Defendants have timely  
22 filed status reports apprising the court of USCIS's progress in reviewing the TPS SCP

1 and TPS PFE SCP systems. (*See, e.g.*, 3/14/25 Status Report (Dkt. # 102); 4/14/25 Status  
2 Report (Dkt. # 105).)

3 On March 14, 2025, Defendants indicated that the Senior Advisor for the  
4 Director's Office of USCIS had "submitted recommendations based on his in-depth  
5 review of TPS PFE SCP" and that "USCIS [was] internally deliberating next steps  
6 regarding TPS SCP and TPS PFE SCP." (3/14/25 Status Report at 3 (citing McDermott  
7 Decl. (Dkt. # 103) ¶¶ 1, 8-9).) On April 28, 2025, Defendants filed an updated status  
8 report indicating that "USCIS continues to internally deliberate next steps regarding TPS  
9 SCP and TPS PFE SCP and whether to make changes to USCIS's adjudicatory practices  
10 as described in Defendants' motion for summary judgment." (4/28/25 Status Report  
11 (Dkt. # 108) at 3.) Defendants represent that USCIS needs "additional time to deliberate  
12 and come to a final decision on next steps" and request that the court stay the parties'  
13 cross motions until May 12, 2025. (*Id.* at 4.) The court notes that it has granted several  
14 stays in this matter and is hesitant to continue doing so for an indefinite period of time.  
15 (*See* Stay Orders (Dkt. ## 101, 104, 107).) However, because the court cannot anticipate  
16 whether or how the Defendants' review of the processing systems at issue will affect the  
17 parties' arguments in support of their respective cross motions, the court concludes that  
18 continuing the stay is appropriate at this time.

19 //


20 //

21 //

22

1 Accordingly, the court DIRECTS the Clerk to renote the parties' cross motions for  
2 summary judgment (Dkt. ## 89, 92) for **May 12, 2025**. Defendants are ORDERED to  
3 file a report regarding the status of USCIS's review and its effect, if any, on the pending  
4 cross motions by **May 12, 2025**.

5 Dated this 29th day of April, 2025.

6 

7 JAMES L. ROBART  
8 United States District Judge  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22